2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

351

honest about where they were living. You'll read the report of Dr. Starling and you'll see that that report was given to the step grandmother, not the mother. And after some doing the mother never would admit that she wasn't living with the children. The children went to Virginia to live with the step grandmother along with several cousins and you heard those names of all the other cousins that were living with the step grandmother.

This was written on April 13 of this year by History provided by maternal step Dr. Starling. grandmother, that's Ms. Hepner, you'll have this. She states that Lillian and two siblings have lived with That's what the step her since June of '99. grandmother says. She reports Lillian's mother lives locally with a new husband. Ms. Hepner states she has concerns with her stepdaughter's judgment and feels the girls need the stability and support she can provide. Now what do you think is going on when the stepmother has had these children and giving a bad report about the mother? At the same time support petitions are being filed and other things are going on. And then they get up here and they will not even acknowledge to you that the children are living with the step grandmother. She wanted you to believe that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

somehow she lived with the step grandmother up until

she got married in April. Well, that's not what the

352

step grandmother told the doctor and it is, you've got

it right there.

And there's some other things in there, when you try to jive some of what was said against what is written and what you've heard you can't do it.

case of the take So let's Lillian's medical report. Now you'll notice that Dr. Anz never examined Lillian. She only examined Autumn. Now you have to ask yourself why that is. I'll tell you why it is, because they knew that the examination in Virginia showed that there were normal exams, which is what it shows. That's the reason they didn't have Dr. Anz check Lillian. Lillian, who claimed that she had been sodomized and raped and you'll recall the testimony, I mean the statement that was read by Ms. Moss, is was more than every day, is was more than several times, it was a bunch of times, everything was a bunch of times. And the medical report found there was no physical evidence to support what the girl said.

Now we come to Autumn. The medical reports will show that Autumn, there was nothing abnormal, she had a normal reading or a normal examination as to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

353

anus, which is contrary to her statement that she was penetrated on a regular basis. Now we come to the other charge there that Autumn says she was penetrated vaginally or in her pie. Now, you'll have the records and I went over this carefully with, well I went over it with Dr. Anz and then went over it again with Dr. Bush about the time. Now to make a long story short and boil it down to this and some of you know what I'm fixing to say and I think all of us common sense will tell us this. The little girl bled, had a laceration And we know on and bled for we know for six days. March 8th of 1999 she was hurt on March 3rd, and we have two different dates when she was hurt, but it and Wednesday was March Wednesday, says Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, Saturday, Sunday, Monday, which is the 8th, that's six days that she bled. And she was still bleeding on the sixth day on the 8th of March when that report was written. She had scarring Now the question is a simple and she had bruises. question. You will recall that Autumn said the doctor said it was a straddle injury. You probably remember that, but whatever she says. The doctor said it was a straddle injury and Dr. Starling makes a note of that although he had never seen the emergency room or the report from the clinic. He notes that it was

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reported as a straddle injury.

Of course on that Sunday Dr. Anz was so over zealous that she was willing to agree with another doctor without knowing whether it was a male or a female or having ever having seen the report.

354

The simple fact is and the question is this: Having no physical evidence of sodomy when there should be some if you believe the girls, and no physical evidence of rape on one of them, her hymen is still intact, the girl has a perfectly normal reading. And the other one, no physical evidence on the anal, should be if you believe what they said. Then we get to the vaginal problem where there was an abnormal Now the question is, and this is the one reading. that Dr. Anz crawfished on when I asked her, based on a reasonable medical certainty, question: Based on a reasonable medical certainty is it more certain that this kind of injury, a person bleeding six days or more, would more likely come from a trauma of falling, a straddle injury, falling on something which caused that injury and caused the laceration and bruising and scarring, is that most likely to have come from a fall or is it more likely to come from the story that the girls said? And what did she say? One time, it's the only time she claimed that she was attacked vaginally.

Autumn said one time he was trying to do it in my rear and it slipped and hit my vagina and he didn't mean to. And the question is, is it possible that that produced a scar and bruising and a laceration that caused bleeding for six days? And we don't know how many more days because the last report was on the 8th.

I think, ladies and gentlemen, you can believe because Dr. Anz wouldn't give a straight answer to that question, that medical question, Dr. Bush told you that based on a reasonable medical certainty that that would have occurred from the fall or the straddle injury and could not and would not have occurred if you believe the second story.

so what's the importance of trying to get facts? You see Ms. Moss had the same problem. And we find out from the State what is your purpose of getting all these records from Mr. Healy. Well our purpose is to have a successful conviction and successful prosecution. Then we get Ms. Moss who talks to the girls, one for forty minutes and one for forty-five minutes, and it's not compared with anything else they've said or any physical facts. Didn't even ask them what time this occurred. Failure to get facts that can be verified so the State can take away the reasonable doubt which they are required

to remove, because you can't just send somebody or convict somebody for these kind of things with this kind of testimony because it is not beyond a reasonable doubt. You'd have to assume something, you'd have to add to something, you'd have to believe that notwithstanding the fact there's no physical evidence that it happened anyway. You'd have to believe that the fact that these girls have, you know they changed their story. And you know Autumn can change a story because she did change her story.

And we get to secrecy. David mentioned that this was a secret thing and secrecy. There are two secrets in these kind of cases and the one we're dealing with here is the secret of why these girls are doing this. That's the secret. What's the secret behind why they got it wrong, why Autumn got it wrong on what the facts were when she was talked to and the testimony transcribed up in Virginia? Was that because she forgot it or because there was a secrecy of what these girls plotted together or what their mother influenced them to say? We don't have to guess that they plotted together because I got it, it came right off this witness stand. Right off this witness stand. It's not often that you can see that happen before your eyes on something so obvious they can be checked.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They knew there was a problem with the time and they

357

wouldn't admit, even Dawn wouldn't admit when he

worked and wouldn't admit when she worked and didn't

even know when the school but left every morning, had

to bring Matthew on to get that straight. That during

6 the whole time she was living here and those kids were

going to school and catching that school bus which is

a timing question, she didn't know what time they got

up and what time they caught the school bus. Well, if

she had remembered it you know, it would have been

obvious that they had a problem time-wise. But it's

obvious they have a problem time-wise anyway.

Now ladies and gentlemen, I know that you have paid close attention to this case and I know some of you and I know you probably know more about the facts than I do, so I know you remember the facts and you remember what people have said. And all I can urge upon you is a conviction in cases like this should not be based on this kind of thing. Now while it's possible to have sexual abuse without physical findings, it is not possible, for example, it's not possible to prove or disprove other than whether you believe somebody or not, whether somebody touched somebody without penetration. We can't disprove that. I can't prove and Lee can't prove that he was never at

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

home with these girls. That would be untruthful. Obviously he was at home with these girls but he wasn't there during the time or at the time when they said these things happened. He wasn't home during those times of the day.

358

He looked after these girls, he looked after them at Christmas and you hear from, you know, Matthew, he baby-sat with Matthew. He's had people come here and testify and stand behind him and you can believe what they've said and I don't think you can believe what the girls said. And when you read through some of this, for example, part of the history on Autumn is that she had a jagged tear in her genitals, which the physicians attributed to a straddle injury. Now that's in the medical report. Now, you get doctors who write things down but they never ask the question and don't say here, based on a reasonable medical certainty, and I understand what that means, based on a reasonable medical certainty what's the conclusion? What is the medical conclusion?

So here there is no evidence, ladies and gentlemen. You've got testimony of the children that's obviously inconsistent and not just, it's not possible that a child doesn't know the difference in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Then we got

day and night. This explanation that well, there are two times of night is nonsensical. Not only that, somehow we've gotten here that the mother was sleeping in the other room when these things happened. That's not the testimony that I heard. The testimony of Dawn was this all happened when the mother was working. When the mother was working. And Lee was there keeping them. The mother didn't work. the story about the aunt coming over. You'll recall that because Carol testified. Autumn said that they were having a fight and the Aunt Carol had to come over there and separate them at their house when they were fighting. That never happened. The mind of a child is subject to be influenced by adults, good and bad. Children, and all of us have been there, sometimes believe that Santa Claus, they actually saw Santa Claus and his chariot flying across the house. Children can be influenced to believe that the fairy tales are real. Not only that, once they're worked on by the people who have them they can actually believe not only, I mean they can literally believe that what they saw is real. And the more they tell it the more it becomes that way in their mind and that's happened in this case except but it didn't stand up because 24

they couldn't keep all the elements straight and that

true.

gives you the evidence you need to know that what they're saying, number one the physical evidence does support it, and what they say doesn't jive and is not

Now ladies and gentlemen, I leave it with you. This matter is in your hands. It's been my experience over thirty-five or thirty-six years that while lawyers talk a lot when juries get the case it's their case and it's up to you to read this thing and all I can urge you is that convicting somebody on what we've heard is something that I don't believe you ought to do and I hope you don't do and I hope when David finishes his closing and you get the instructions from the Judge that you'll return a not guilty verdict in this case. Thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Mr. Glanzer?

MR. GLANZER: So it comes down to Santa Claus. If you took a five, six, seven year old kid and you said do you believe in Santa Claus? Oh, yeah. Why? Mommy says it's true, daddy says it's true. Well, have you ever seen Santa Claus? Oh, yeah, every year. I go to the mall and he's there. I get to talk to him. I get to tell him what I did during the year. I get to tell him what I want. So Santa Clause is real.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

361

Is it real if somebody sticks their penis in your private areas? Can you tell a child is that real to believe and how do you know it's real? Do you see it at the mall every week or did it happen to you? Can you tell a child, don't you remember when that happened to you? I don't know what you're talking about. You know when the guy takes his private part and -- oh, you've never seen one? And puts it in your private part and you know, you do want to tell the story, don't you? And the mind of a five to eight year old says man, I'm really ripping to tell that story. I want to tell that story, I want to come back from Virginia. I want to tell people up here, first of all about what he did to me and he put it in my butt and he put it in my private part and I just, I'm dying to tell somebody about that. And I told the counselor and then let the doctor look at me and now I get to go to Opelika and I get to do all that again because I'm five to eight years old and this is fun. This is our thing, five to eight year old's do this. And come down here and the first one we call to the stand can't even make it in here because she's crying. And this is a premedicated crime on the part of these children against this man. Who's believing in Santa Claus here? Who wants you to believe in Santa Claus?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

362

That guy right there.

What's sufficient evidence? What if you left the courthouse, you're on the way to your car, you're there, and all of a sudden from behind a tree a guy jumps out, puts a gun in your face, says give me your wallet. Here's my wallet. You come back in and say I just got robbed out there. A guy just took my wallet. Well, describe him. Well, he was about six foot. Make you walk up and say is this the guy? And you go, that's him, that's him, that's who did that to And they go that's not enough. That's not good enough, that's just your word. Your word is no good. It doesn't count. Is that the way the legal system works? No.

The statement of a rape victim, sodomy victim is prima facie evidence in and of itself. That means it goes to you for determination. Now what's the medical evidence? You look for something to corroborate. And what the medical report says, you're not always going to find it in a sex case. You're not always going to find it. It's going to happen but there may not be proof of it. But in Autumn's case there is. And we're not saying that proves that it happened, we're saying it corroborates the story. It's something additional. It's something that that

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

robbery victim doesn't have. Doesn't have that 1 witness to walk up and say, yeah, I saw it too. 2 can't identify him but he was robbed. 3 corroboration that there was a robbery. That's 4 corroboration. That's corroboration.

> Shane Healy took the stand. Familiar with the case file. Familiar with everything that's been told, done and the rest of it. How many questions did they ask Shane Healy? Zero. Zero questions. when Brenda Moss took the stand who is a forensic interviewer, and just interviews children to get their story, they wanted her to be the case agent. Did you check this out? Did you check that out. Did you verify that? That's not her job. Her job is to say what is your story? Tell me your story, without being influenced, biased in any way. The opportunity was to ask those questions to him and they didn't, because they didn't want the answers.

> What is rape one and sodomy one? Rape one in this case as I said in the beginning it's a statutory case. In rape you're looking for penetration so slight to the vagina. So slight, it can just that much. That's rape. There's no force, we're not even into that. Did it happen? Children under twelve over sixteen.

Sodomy. Two possibilities here. Deviant sexual intercourse, contact between his sex organ in either the mouth or the butt. How many scars are you going to have in your mouth from oral sodomy? How many there where he claimed it? Zero. Zero. How do you prove it then physically? How are you going to prove that? Saying it happened, that's not going to be good enough? That's good enough. It's good enough under the law.

Let's give you some examples of some other things. A person walks into the doctor's office and said I was in a car wreck and hit my head. The doctor says my gosh you did. We better treat that, maybe we ought to X-ray it. Car wreck. Comes back a week later, well, actually my dad got mad at me and we were at baseball practice, I kind of missed a few balls, he took a bat and hit me up side the head. Does it change the diagnosis? Not in that case, huh-uh. Still hit in the head.

What about I fell down and hit the counter top?

Or next time, well, my husband and I got in an argument and he punched me out. Is there a difference? Does the doctor come to the same conclusion that there's not injury? But it might make a difference on how you analyze that injury knowing

the complete facts, and that's what they've said all along. Every doctor, history, first and that guides me on my conclusion. Without knowing the history I know there's injury but I don't know what to suspect. With a history it might point me another direction. Straddle injury, penetration. Internal, external.

He's guilty.

## COURT'S CHARGE

Big difference if you got the right story.

THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, you've heard all the evidence in the case, now I'll tell you what the law is that you apply to what you've heard.

When this case was sent to this Court by the Grand Jury the Defendant entered a plea of not guilty to those indictments and by virtue of his entering those pleas it casts the burden of proof on the State of Alabama to satisfy you of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. The Defendant comes into court presumed to be innocent and that presumption stays with him until such time as each one of you is satisfied of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now the State's burden of reasonable doubt to satisfy you by a reasonable doubt can be defined in several ways. A reasonable doubt is a doubt that

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FORM CSR LASER REPORTERS PAPER & MFG. CO. 800-626-6313

grows out of the evidence or the lack of evidence in the case. It's a doubt which after you consider all the evidence or lack of evidence that exists. reasonable doubt is not a doubt that's based on speculation or conjecture or guesswork. In short, a reasonable doubt is a doubt for which a reason can be given.

Now in this case there are four charges as I told you when we began the case. There are two charges of rape and two charges of sodomy. Criminal Code of this state says this with reference to the charge of rape in the first degree: A male commits the crime of rape in the first degree if he being sixteen years old or older engages in sexual intercourse with a female who is less than twelve years old. That's the legal definition of rape in the first degree.

The two charges of sodomy in this case, first degree, the Criminal Code defines that offense as follows: A person commits the crime of sodomy in the first degree if he being sixteen years old or older engages in deviant sexual intercourse with a person who is less than twelve years old.

Now that term deviant sexual intercourse is further defined in the Criminal Code as follows: Any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

act of sexual gratification between persons married to each other involving the sex organs of one person and the mouth or anus of another. That's the definition of deviant sexual intercourse.

> Now ladies and gentlemen, you decide this case based on evidence. Evidence consists of three The testimony of the witnesses that you've things: heard during the course of this trial, the exhibits that you'll have with you in the jury room, and the presumption of innocence that I have already defined for you.

> You do not consider as evidence the fact that there have been indictments in the case, that is not evidence of guilt. Nor should you consider the arguments of the attorneys as evidence. You have a right to consider what they say but that's not evidence in the case. Nor any ruling that the Court has made during the course of this trial.

> Your verdicts must be unanimous, and that is all twelve of you must agree on these verdicts in order to return a legal verdict. We do not have majority verdicts in Alabama. And when you come back and these verdicts are announced in court I will ask each one of you whether or not you agree with the announced verdicts, and naturally, I would expect each

one of you to indicate to me that you do agree with those verdicts.

Now you folks are the judges of the weight to be give to this testimony and evidence. And you have a right to use your good common sense in deciding what weight is to be given to this testimony and evidence in this case. You don't leave that at home when you come up here to serve on the jury. You have a right to consider what the various witnesses have said, the manner in which they've testified, the substance of their testimony, any interest that they may have in the outcome of the case. All of those things are certainly legitimate areas for you to consider in deciding what weight you're going to give to the testimony of each person.

Now if you're satisfied that any person has come before you and willfully testified falsely as to any material fact then you have a right to disregard all of that testimony, although you do not have to.

I have prepared four verdict forms for you. They are set out in the format as follows: In the event you convict this Defendant of one of these offenses, for instance the first one is sodomy in the first degree of Autumn. The form of that verdict is we, the jury, find the Defendant, William Lee Kirby,

guilty of sodomy one of Autumn Rose McLees as charged in the indictment, and then a signature line for the foreman. If you convict him of that charge if you are reasonably satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt from the evidence then the foreman would sign that verdict.

On the other hand, on that charge if you're not satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt of his guilt then you should acquit him and the form of the verdict is we, the jury, find the Defendant not guilty of sodomy of Autumn Rose McLees, and the foreman would sign that verdict form.

There's a separate verdict form for the charges of sodomy on both of the alleged victims, and the charges of rape on both alleged victims. There are places at the bottom for a finding of not guilty on any one of those charges that you find to be the case.

Now when you come back you will bring back four signed verdict forms. So you need to take care that you, when you sign them, whoever the foreman is, that that person does that with care so that it accurately reflects the result of your deliberations.

Now the first thing that should be done when you go back to the jury room is to elect a foreman. That person has two duties. First is to preside over

your deliberations and secondly is to sign the appropriate verdict form, once you've reached a decision.

Now once you have reached a decision in these cases you knock on the door and let the bailiff know, we'll bring you back here in the courtroom, they will be handed to me, and I will read them in open court.

If any questions come up during your deliberations write the question out on a piece of paper in as much detail as you can and if I can answer it for you I will answer it for you. Just give it to the bailiff if you have a question.

If you need to take a recess during your deliberations, knock on the door and let the bailiff know and we'll let you take a recess from your deliberations.

All right. What says the State as to the Court's charge? Satisfied?

MR. GLANZER: Satisfied.

THE COURT: Defense?

MR. FUNDERBURK: The Defendant is satisfied, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We have an alternate in this case that we did not need. And the alternate is

Harry Ennis. Mr. Ennis, I'll let you stay here and you folks may go out and begin your deliberations. We will gather this evidence and send it out to you just as quickly as we can put it together. So you may go right out that door.

(WHEREUPON, the jury retired to the jury room to begin their deliberations at 2:20 o'clock p.m., and at 3:45 the jury announced that they had a question, and the following occurred, to-wit:)

THE COURT: The jury has sent out a note saying they want the definition of the charges of sodomy and of rape. So I'll bring them back in here and read them to them again.

(WHEREUPON, the jury returned to the courtroom and the following proceedings were had and done in its presence and hearing, to-wit:)

THE COURT: You sent a note out, ladies and gentlemen, you want the charges defined for you again.

All right rape. A very simple definition in the Alabama Criminal Code, it says this: A male commits the crime of rape in the first degree if he being sixteen years old or older engages in sexual intercourse with a female who is less than twelve

(WHEREUPON, the jury returned to the

1		
1		
1		
1		
1		
]		
1		
-		
-		
•		
2		
:		
:		

25

	372
1	years old. That's the definition of rape in the first
2	degree.
3	Sodomy is as follows: A person commits the
4	crime of sodomy in the first degree if he being
5	sixteen years old or older engages in deviant sexual
6	intercourse with a person who is less than twelve
7	years old.
8	Now that term deviant sexual intercourse is
9	further defined as follows: It is any act of sexual
LO	gratification between persons not married to each
L1	other involving the sex organs of one person and the
12	mouth or anus of another.
13	All right. Those are the simple definitions of
14	those offenses. I'll send you back out to continue
15	your deliberations.
16	(WHEREUPON, the jury retired to the jury
17	room to continue their deliberations and at
1.8	4:30 o'clock p.m., the jury announced ready
19	with a verdict and the following occurred,
20	to-wit:)
21	THE COURT: I understand the jury has reached a
22	verdict. If there is anyone in the courtroom who
23	can't keep his or her emotions under control now is
24	the time to leave.

	373
1	courtroom and the following proceedings
2	were had and done in its presence and
3	hearing, to-wit:)
4	THE COURT: Have you reached verdicts, ladies and
5	gentlemen?
6	A JUROR: Yes, we do, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Hand it to the bailiff, please.
8	The verdicts read as follows:
9	"We, the jury, find the Defendant, William
10	Lee Kirby, guilty of sodomy one of Autumn Rose McLees
11	as charged in the indictment."
12	"We, the jury, find the Defendant, William
13	Lee Kirby, guilty of rape one of Autumn Rose McLees as
14	charged in the indictment."
15	"We, the jury, find the Defendant, William
16	Lee Kirby, guilty of sodomy one of Lillian J. McLees
17	as charged in the indictment."
18	"We, the jury, find the Defendant not guilty
19	of the rape of Lillian J. McLees."
20	Now, ladies and gentlemen, if this is the
21	verdict of each one of you would you indicate that by
22	raising your hands?
23	(All jurors raising hands.)
24	THE COURT: All right. Let the record show that
25	all jurors agree with the verdicts.

374 All right. Mr. Kirby, step around in front 1 of the bench. 2 The jury having returned verdicts of guilty 3 of two charges of sodomy and one charge of rape, it's 4 5 the judgment of the Court that you are guilty of those offenses. I'm going to set a sentencing hearing --6 MR. GLANZER: Judge, the State would give notice 7 of three prior convictions and request an habitual 8 9 offender hearing. THE COURT: We'll set a hearing on February 28th 10 of next year. That will be at eight o'clock. In the 11 meantime you're in custody of the sheriff, pending 12 sentence hearing in those cases. 13 THE DEFENDANT: All right, sir. 14 THE COURT: Go right out that door. 15 16 (WHEREUPON, the trial proceedings were 17 concluded.)

# STATE OF ALABAMA IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF LEE THIRTY-SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT CRIMINAL

STATE OF ALABAMA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

CASE NOS. CC-01-1001

CC-01-1002

WILLIAM LEE KIRBY,

CC-01-1003 CC-01-1004

DEFENDANT

# REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE SENTENCING HEARING BEFORE THE COURT

Before:

HON. ROBERT M. HARPER, Circuit Judge, in Courtroom Number Four of the Lee County Justice Center located at Opelika, Alabama, on the 11th day of April, 2002, and being concluded on the same day.

#### APPEARANCES

HON. VANCE NICHOLAS ABBETT, District Attorney for the 37th Judicial Circuit of Alabama, and HON. DAVID GLANZER, Assistant District Attorney for the 37th Judicial Circuit of Alabama, appearing for the State of Alabama.

HON. KENNETH FUNDERBURK, Attorney at Law, appearing for the Defendant.

## PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right. Appearing in open court is the Defendant, William Lee Kirby and his attorney, Kenneth Funderburk, in Case Number 01-001.

The jury having found you guilty of the offense of sodomy in the first degree it's the judgment of the Court that you are guilty of that offense. Do you have anything to say at this time why sentence of the Court should not be pronounced upon you?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: What does the State have?

MR. GLANZER: Judge, we have some letters from the two little girl victims and their mother, and also a claim for \$300.00 in restitution. And as given notice prior, he does have three priors and he also has three class A convictions.

THE COURT: Have you got evidence of that?

MR. FUNDERBURK: Your Honor, I need to make a comment on that when you're ready.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. FUNDERBURK: We just ask Your Honor, I realize he does have those three but it arises out of one series of events. We'd ask the Court to consider treating those as one occurrence in your sentencing.

	377
1	THE COURT: What's the State's position on that?
2	MR. GLANZER: Judge, we would object. When you
3	have three
4	THE COURT: Have you talked to Mr. Abbett about
5	this?
6	MR. GLANZER: No, sir.
7	THE COURT: You might want to go do that. He
8	mentioned something to me yesterday about this that
9	you haven't talked to him about this at all?
10	MR. GLANZER: No, sir. We've had the
11	understanding all along
12	THE COURT: Is he here today?
13	MR. GLANZER: He is in Montgomery from what I
14	understand, or somewhere. But I've never had any
15	indication they were all going to be treated as one.
16	(An off the record discussion.)
17	THE COURT: All right. We'll put this off until
18	Mr. Abbett gets back. We'll do it tomorrow or
19	whenever we can get to it then in view of that.
20	MR. FUNDERBURK: Can we just be back in the
21	morning or
22	THE COURT: Well, we can reset it next week or
23	two weeks, whatever you want to do.
24	MR. FUNDERBURK: Your Honor, I'm at your
25	convenience, so

	378
1	THE COURT: We'll reset this for March 14th at
2	eight o'clock. How about that?
3	MR. FUNDERBURK: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	(WHEREUPON, the proceedings were ended.)

# STATE OF ALABAMA IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF LEE THIRTY-SEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT CRIMINAL

STATE OF ALABAMA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

CASE NOS. CC-01-1001

CC-01-1002

WILLIAM LEE KIRBY,

CC-01-1003 CC-01-1004

DEFENDANT

# REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF THE SENTENCING HEARING BEFORE THE COURT

Before:

HON. ROBERT M. HARPER, Circuit Judge, in Courtroom Number Four of the Lee County Justice Center located at Opelika, Alabama, on the 14th day of April, 2002, and being concluded on the same day.

### APPEARANCES

HON. VANCE NICHOLAS ABBETT, District Attorney for the 37th Judicial Circuit of Alabama, and HON. DAVID GLANZER, Assistant District Attorney for the 37th Judicial Circuit of Alabama, appearing for the State of Alabama.

HON. KENNETH FUNDERBURK, Attorney at Law, appearing for the Defendant.

### PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: All right. Appearing in Court is William Lee Kirby and his attorney, Kenneth Funderburk.

The jury has found you guilty in three cases, Mr. Kirby of sodomy in the first degree, rape in the first degree and sodomy in the first degree. Do you have anything to say at this time why sentence of the Court should not be pronounced upon you?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Does the State have habitual offender material to be presented?

MR. GLANZER: Yes, sir. We filed notice to the Court on December 17th, 2001, of three prior convictions. They were all breaking and entering motor vehicles.

THE COURT: How many convictions?

MR. GLANZER: Three. Class C felonies, back on May 4th of 1995.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, how many separate convictions have you got there?

MR. GLANZER: Three.

MR. FUNDERBURK: Your Honor, we'd simply ask that the Court consider those as one. It was a series at one time.

THE	COURT:	What	do	you	say	about	that,	Mr
Glanzer?								

MR. GLANZER: My understanding is that each one has to be treated separately as far as at the time of the offense I think the Court can consider them as concurrent for sentencing purposes, but as far as habitual offender they should be counted individually.

MR. FUNDERBURK: We understand that would be the case, but the Court does have the power and authority on the sentencing phase to treat them as one offense.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else to be presented by either side today?

MR. GLANZER: Judge, we are pending still from the mother and the two children the travel costs of coming to trial as well as some counseling costs that are going on in Virginia, so we would ask that that be left pending.

MR. FUNDERBURK: And we're not going to dispute that, Your Honor, whenever they come up with a figure.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else by either side?

MR. FUNDERBURK: No, sir, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's the sentence of the Court you be confined in the penitentiary of the State of Alabama for a term of sixteen years in each case to run concurrent, pay court costs, pay a victim's

restitution assessment of \$50.00 in each case. 1 Restitution will just remain pending. How long have 2 3 you been in jail on this, Mr. Kirby? THE DEFENDANT: Four and a half months. 4 THE COURT: All right. I'll give you credit for 5 four and a half months. 6 7 You have a right to appeal. If you can't afford an attorney one would be appointed for you and 8 you'd be given a free transcript. 9 Anything else today? 10 11 MR. GLANZER: No, sir. MR. FUNDERBURK: No, sir, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: You're in custody of the sheriff. 13 (WHEREUPON, 14 the sentence hearing 15 proceedings were ended.)

-626-631
800
G. CO.
& MFG
APER,
TERS P
REPOR
LASER
CSR-
FORM

CERTIFICATE

383

STATE OF ALABAMA )
LEE COUNTY )

I, Willie T. Bennett, Official Court Reporter at Opelika, Alabama, do hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the proceedings and testimony in the foregoing styled cause at the time and place stated in the caption hereof; that I later reduced my shorthand notes to typewriting; or the same was done under my supervision; that the foregoing pages beginning with the word "Proceedings", where the same appears in the center of the page, following the style of the case, the caption and the appearances, contain a full, true and correct transcript of the proceedings and testimony as therein set out.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have placed in the Court File all of the exhibits offered in said trial in the order offered, which fact is certified to the Clerk of the Court.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have on this date notified counsel for the parties of the filing of this transcript in the Office of the Clerk of the 37th Judicial Circuit of Alabama, Law Division.

THIS 30 it day of July, 2002.

Willie T. Bennett OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER